



May 22, 2017

Honorable Mitch McConnell
Majority Leader
U.S. Senate
S-230 U.S. Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20510

Honorable Charles Schumer
Minority Leader
U.S. Senate
419 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Honorable Paul Ryan
Speaker
U.S. House of Representatives
H-232 U.S. Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20515

Honorable Nancy Pelosi
Minority Leader
U.S. House of Representatives
H-204 U.S. Capitol
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Senators McConnell and Schumer, and Representatives Ryan and Pelosi:

Several of the undersigned organizations representing state and local officials previously transmitted to you the attached Shared Principles on Federalism. We are collectively dedicated to improving and strengthening the relationship of the federal government with states and local governments. As you proceed with the difficult tasks of formulating a budget and enacting appropriations for fiscal year 2018, we are taking this opportunity to reiterate and emphasize those principles, particularly as they pertain to issues of federal funding.

States and local governments are eager to work with the federal government as authentic partners. We hope to engage with federal agencies at the earliest stages of federal decision-making and program development. To the extent that local knowledge, expertise, resources and competencies are brought to bear in the formulation and execution of federal policy, the more richly our common constituents will benefit. Moreover, a greater devolution of authority to states will empower those closest to the people to accommodate local needs, customs, legal regimes, economies, and environments most properly.

States and localities are willing and prepared to assume greater responsibilities of governance and to work ever more cooperatively with federal officials. Furthermore, we are prepared to assume our fair share of the fiscal burden in executing such responsibilities. Nonetheless, the size and impositions of the federal budget operate to constrain funding available to state and local governments. As we pursue more effective partnership with the federal government, we respectfully request your cooperation in

May 22, 2017

Page 2

ensuring the appropriate allocation of funding for the discharge of shared responsibilities and to otherwise fulfill federal obligations.


In the case of authorities delegated to the states and local governments (such as permitting authority under the Clean Water Act or the achievement of environmental standards under various federal statutes), states should be regarded as co-regulators and be granted the greatest discretion possible in designing mechanisms to achieve federal objectives. Our ability to effectively manage programs, however, depends on access to funding appropriated by Congress to accomplish federal statutory purposes. States and localities cannot bear additional programmatic responsibilities without concomitant funding.

Other obligations of the federal government arise from historic agreements with the states. The Payments-in-Lieu-of-Taxes program, for example, compensates localities for the financial burdens imposed by the presence of non-taxable federal lands within their boundaries. We encourage you to ensure that federal commitments to states and localities continue to be honored.


As we proceed with our efforts to realign the state-federal relationship, we would appreciate the opportunity to consult with Congress on funding imperatives and the efficient allocation of scarce resources. We also request your vigilance to protect against the undue transfer of financial burdens to states and localities. Our common goal should be to maximize the return that Americans receive on the investment of their limited tax dollars.


Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Respectfully,


James D. Ogsbury
Executive Director
Western Governors' Association

Chris Coppin
Chris Coppin
Legal Director
Conference of Western Attorneys General


Edgar Ruiz
Executive Director
The Council of State Governments West


Matthew D. Chase
Executive Director
National Association of Counties


Matt Morrison
Executive Director
Pacific NorthWest Economic Region


Scott Pattison
Executive Director
National Governors Association

Attachment: Principles to Clarify and Strengthen the State-Federal Relationship

Principles to Clarify and Strengthen the State-Federal Relationship

A. Fundamental Federalism Principles

1. The structure of government established by the United States Constitution is premised upon a system of checks and balances.
2. The Constitution created a federal government of supreme, but limited and enumerated, powers. The sovereign powers not granted to the federal government are reserved to the people or to the states, unless prohibited to the states by the Constitution. The constitutional relationship among sovereign governments, state and federal, is memorialized in the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution. Under this Constitutional framework, states also confer governmental powers to counties and local governments.
3. Our constitutional system encourages a healthy diversity in the public policies adopted by the people of the several States according to their own conditions, needs, and desires.
4. Effective public policy is achieved when there is competition among the several states in the fashioning of different approaches to public policy issues. The search for enlightened public policy is advanced when individual states and local governments are free to experiment with a variety of approaches to public issues. One-size-fits-all national approaches to public policy problems can inhibit the creation of effective solutions to those problems.
5. In the absence of clear constitutional or statutory authority, the presumption of sovereignty should rest with the individual states. Uncertainties regarding the legitimate authority of the federal government should generally be resolved in favor of state and local authority and regulation.
6. To the extent permitted by law, federal executive departments and agencies should not construe, in regulations and otherwise, a federal statute to preempt state or local authority unless the statute contains an express preemption provision or there is some other firm and palpable evidence compelling the conclusion that the Congress intended preemption of state or local authority, or when the exercise of state or local authority directly conflicts with the exercise of federal authority under the relevant federal statute or U.S. Constitution.
7. When an executive department or agency proposes to act through adjudication or regulatory action to preempt state or local authority, the department or agency must provide all affected states and local governments notice and an opportunity for

appropriate participation in the proceedings [as outlined in B(2)].

8. With respect to federal statutes and regulations administered by states and local governments, the federal government should grant states and local governments the maximum administrative discretion possible. Any federal oversight of such state and local administration should not unnecessarily intrude on state and local discretion or create undue burdens on state and local resources.

B. Actions by Federal Agencies That Should Be Covered by Federalism Executive Order / Consultation

1. Actions having federalism implications include federal regulations, proposed federal legislation, policies, rules, guidances, directives, programs, reviews, budget proposals, budget processes and strategic planning efforts that have substantial direct effects on the states and/or local governments or on their relationship with the federal government, or the distribution of power and responsibilities, between the federal government and the states and local governments.
2. "Consultation" -- Each federal executive department / agency should be required to have a clear, consistent and accountable process (see Section C below) to provide states and localities with early, meaningful and substantive input in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.
3. Independent regulatory agencies should be required to comply with the same federalism-related requirements that other executive departments and agencies are required to follow.

C. Federalism Review Process

1. The head of each federal executive department and agency should be required to designate an official responsible for ensuring that the federalism consultation process is executed appropriately and completely.
 - a. Regulatory actions [see B(1)] with federalism implications should trigger preparation of a federalism assessment. Such assessments should be considered in all decisions involved in promulgating and implementing the policy.
 - b. Each federalism assessment should accompany any submission concerning the policy that is made to the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to Executive Order No. 12291 or OMB Circular No. A19, and:

- i. contain the designated official's certification that the policy has been assessed in light of the principles, criteria and requirements contained in this document;
 - ii. identify any provision or element of the policy that is inconsistent with the principles, criteria, and requirements stated in this document;
 - iii. specifically identify the extent to which the policy imposes additional costs or burdens on state or local governments, including the likely source of funding for the state and local governments and the ability of the states and impacted local governments to fulfill the purposes of the policy; and
 - iv. specifically identify the extent to which the policy would affect impacted governments' abilities to discharge traditional state and local governmental functions, or other aspects of state sovereignty and local government authority.
2. No executive department or agency should promulgate any regulation that is not authorized by federal statute. Where regulations are appropriate, authorized and Constitutional, but have federalism implications or impose substantial direct compliance costs on states or localities, the executive department or agency must:
 - a. Ensure that new funds sufficient to pay the direct costs incurred by the state or local government in complying with the regulation are provided by the federal government to the impacted state and local governments for the duration of the impact; and
 - b. Prior to the formal promulgation of the regulation:
 - i. in a separately identified portion of the preamble to the regulation as it is to be issued in the Federal Register, provide to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of the executive department / agency's prior consultation with representatives of affected states and local governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and the executive department / agency's position supporting the need to issue the regulation; and
 - ii. makes available to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget any written communications submitted to the agency by states or local governments.

D. Increasing Flexibility for State and Local Waivers

1. Agencies should review the processes under which states and local governments apply for waivers of statutory and regulatory requirements and take appropriate steps to streamline those processes.
2. Each agency should, to the extent practicable and permitted by law, favorably consider any application by a state or local government for a waiver of statutory or regulatory requirements in connection with any program administered by that agency. In general, federal agencies should operate with a general view toward increasing opportunities for utilizing flexible policy approaches at the state or local level in cases in which the proposed waiver is consistent with applicable federal policy objectives and is otherwise appropriate.
3. Each agency should, to the extent practicable and permitted by law, render a decision upon a complete application for a waiver within 120 days of receipt of such application by the agency. If the application for a waiver is not granted, the agency should provide the applicant with timely written notice of the decision and the reasons for the application's rejection.
4. This process would apply only to statutory or regulatory requirements that are discretionary and subject to waiver by the agency.